SECOND REGULAR SESSION SENATE COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR

SENATE BILL NO. 591

98TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Reported from the Committee on Small Business, Insurance and Industry, January 13, 2016, with recommendation that the Senate Committee Substitute do pass.

4982S.02C

ADRIANE D. CROUSE, Secretary.

AN ACT

To repeal section 490.065, RSMo, and to enact in lieu thereof one new section relating to expert witnesses.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Missouri, as follows:

Section A. Section 490.065, RSMo, is repealed and one new section 2 enacted in lieu thereof, to be known as section 490.065, to read as follows:

490.065. 1. In [any civil action,] actions brought under chapter 451,

- 2 452, 453, 454, or 455 or in actions adjudicated in juvenile courts under
- 3 chapter 211 or in family courts under chapter 487:
- 4 (1) If scientific, technical or other specialized knowledge will assist the
- 5 trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness
- 6 qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may
- 7 testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise[.];
- 8 [2.] (2) Testimony by such an expert witness in the form of an opinion
- 9 or inference otherwise admissible is not objectionable because it embraces an
- 10 ultimate issue to be decided by the trier of fact[.];
- 11 [3.] (3) The facts or data in a particular case upon which an expert bases
- 12 an opinion or inference may be those perceived by or made known to him at or
- 13 before the hearing and must be of a type reasonably relied upon by experts in the
- 14 field in forming opinions or inferences upon the subject and must be otherwise
- 15 reasonably reliable[.];
- 16 [4.] (4) If a reasonable foundation is laid, an expert may testify in terms
- 17 of opinion or inference and give the reasons therefor without the use of
- 18 hypothetical questions, unless the court believes the use of a hypothetical

29

19 question will make the expert's opinion more understandable or of greater 20 assistance to the jury due to the particular facts of the case.

2

- 21 2. In all actions except those to which subsection 1 of this section 22 applies:
- 23 (1) A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, 24 experience, training, or education may testify in the form of an opinion 25 or otherwise if:
- 26 (a) The expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized 27 knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to 28 determine a fact in issue;
 - (b) The testimony is based on sufficient facts or data;
- 30 (c) The testimony is the product of reliable principles and 31 methods; and
- 32 (d) The expert has reliably applied the principles and methods 33 to the facts of the case;
- 34 (2) An expert may base an opinion on facts or data in the case that the expert has been made aware of or personally observed. If 35 experts in the particular field would reasonably rely on those kinds of 36 facts or data in forming an opinion on the subject, they need not be 3738admissible for the opinion to be admitted. But if the facts or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the proponent of the opinion may disclose them to the jury only if their probative value in helping the 41 jury evaluate the opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect; 42
- 43 (3) (a) An opinion is not objectionable just because it embraces 44 an ultimate issue;
- (b) In a criminal case, an expert witness shall not state an opinion about whether the defendant did or did not have a mental state or condition that constitutes an element of the crime charged or of a defense. Those matters are for the trier of fact alone;
- 49 (4) Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an 50 opinion and give the reasons for it without first testifying to the 51 underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose 52 those facts or data on cross-examination.

✓